

1 Eli Dalton-Webb
2 5009 E. Ironwood Circle
3 Sierra Vista, Arizona 85650
4 email: dw4az@proton.me
5 *Plaintiff*
6

7 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA**

8
9 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA**

<p>12 Eli Dalton-Webb, 13 14 Plaintiff 15 16 v. 17 18 INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION, et al., 19 20 Defendants 21 22</p>	<p>Case No. CV2025-035545 Assigned to: Hon. Michael Mandell URGENT REQUEST FOR RULING ON MOTION FOR EXPEDITED MANDAMUS RELIEF</p>
---	---

23
24 **PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

25
26 On 3 February 2026, Plaintiff filed a “MOTION FOR EXPEDITED
27
28 MANDAMUS RELIEF FOR DEFENDANT TO PRODUCE INDEX”, asking this
29
30 Court to issue mandamus relief against the Defendant to produce an A.R.S. § 39-
31
32 121.01(D)(2) index of records withheld. Defendant as of today, 4 March 2026, has
33
34 not filed any response to this motion.
35

36
37 **REQUEST FOR RULING**

38
39 Plaintiff hereby requests a ruling on this 3 February 2026 Motion for
40
41 Expedited Mandamus Relief. Under typical civil litigation, Civil Procedure Rule
42
43 7.1(a)(3) and 6(a)(2), the Defendant would have had 10 business days plus 5 calendar
44
45 days (under Civil Procedure Rule 6(c)). Would this have been ordinary civil, the
46
47
48

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

deadline for the Defendant to respond to this motion would have been 22 February 2026. We are beyond this deadline.

The Defendant, according to TurboCourt, has actually received this 3 February 2026 Motion for Expedited Mandamus Relief on 3 February 2026.

Plaintiff requests that this Court construe this non-response by the Defendant as a non-objection from the Defendant, and to issue a ruling on that Motion for Expedited Mandamus Relief.

A COPY OF THIS WILL BE SENT TO:

bobby.wren@ritsemalaw.com

Submitted respectfully this day, 4 March 2026,

 /s/Eli Dalton-Webb

Eli Dalton-Webb, *Plaintiff*